Higher-order initial-value problems 1. Convert this 2nd-order IVP into a system of two first-order IVPs

$$y^{(2)}(t) = \sin(t) - 2y^{(1)}(t) - 3y(t)$$
$$y(0) = 7$$
$$y^{(1)}(0) = -5$$
$$\mathbf{w}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} w_0(t) \\ w_1(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y(t) \\ y^{(1)}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$
Answer:
$$\mathbf{w}^{(1)}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} w_1(t) \\ \sin(t) - 2w_1(t) - 3w_0(t) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{w}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ -5 \end{pmatrix}$$

2. Convert this 3rd-order IVP into a system of three first-order IVPs

$$u^{(3)}(t) = -tu^{(2)}(t)u(t)$$

$$u(0) = 7$$

$$u^{(1)}(0) = 4$$

$$u^{(2)}(0) = 2$$

$$\mathbf{w}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} w_0(t) \\ w_1(t) \\ w_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ u^{(1)}(t) \\ u^{(2)}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$
Answer: $\mathbf{w}^{(1)}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} w_1(t) \\ w_2(t) \\ -tw_2(t)w_0(t) \end{pmatrix}$

$$\mathbf{w}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

3. Convert this 4th-order IVP into a system of four first-order IVPs

$$x^{(4)}(t) = -5(x^{(2)}(t)+1)(x^{(3)}(t)+x(t)+t)$$

$$x(0) = 2$$

$$x^{(1)}(0) = -4$$

$$x^{(2)}(0) = 5$$

$$x^{(3)}(0) = 3$$

$$\mathbf{w}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} w_0(t) \\ w_1(t) \\ w_2(t) \\ w_3(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ x^{(1)}(t) \\ x^{(2)}(t) \\ x^{(3)}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$
Answer: $\mathbf{w}^{(1)}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} w_1(t) \\ w_2(t) \\ w_3(t) \\ -5(w_2(t)+1)(w_3(t)+w_0(t)+t) \end{pmatrix}$

$$\mathbf{w}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -4 \\ 5 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

4. In all the above questions, we always wrote that the n^{th} derivative is described in terms of lower derivatives and the function itself. Is this a fair assumption to make? Is it not possible that we may have a system where this is not the case?

Answer: Yes, but the implicit function theorem says that this is possible essentially everywhere.

5. How would you express the right-hand side of the ode to a function in Matlab?

Answer:

>> f =
$$@(t, w)([w(2); w(3); w(4); -5^*(w(3) + 1)^*(w(4) + w(1) + t)]);$$

You must remember that in Matlab, vectors start at index 1, but for higher-order odes, it is often easier to understand if you represent the k^{th} derivative of the function by $w_k(t)$.

6. For Question 4, if you were to approximate $\mathbf{w}(t_k)$ with \mathbf{w}_k where $t_k = t_0 + kh$, at each step, you would have a 4-dimensional vector. What do the entries of that 4-dimensional vector represent?

Answer: The first entry of the approximation \mathbf{w}_k would approximate $x(t_k)$.

The second entry of the approximation \mathbf{w}_k would approximate $x^{(1)}(t_k)$.

The third entry of the approximation \mathbf{w}_k would approximate $x^{(2)}(t_k)$.

The fourth entry of the approximation \mathbf{w}_k would approximate $x^{(3)}(t_k)$.

7. Suppose you had approximations to the solution as described in Question 6. Suppose you also wanted to approximate the solution on the interval $[t_k, t_{k+1}]$. Could you find a polynomial that matches the approximations of the function at t_k and t_{k+1} and also matches all four derivatives at each of the points?

Answer: In theory, yes, but you would have to use a spline of degree 9.